# PHOTOCHEMISTRY OF NON-CONJUGATED BICHROMOPHORIC SYSTEMS

## FORMATION OF CUBANE-LIKE PHOTOCYCLOMERS IN DI-(α-NAPHTHYL) COMPOUNDS

R. TODESCO, J. GELAN, H. MARTENS and J. PUT\*

Department of Chemistry, Limburgs Universitair Centrum, Universitaire Campus, B-3610 Diepenbeek, Belgium

and

## F. C. DE SCHRYVER

Department of Chemistry, University of Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, B-3030 Heverlee, Belgium

(Received in UK 26 July 1982)

Abstract- Irradiation of di-( $\alpha$ -naphthylmethyl)ether I. [2-( $\alpha$ -naphthyl) ethyl]-( $\alpha$ -naphthylmethyl)ether II and 1.3-di-( $\alpha$ -naphthyl)propanol III, with wavelengths longer than 300 nm results finally for all of these compounds in the formation of "cubane-like" photocyclomers. The structures of these cyclomers were elucidated by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR-spectroscopy A general scheme for "cubane-like" photocyclomerization in di-( $\alpha$ -naphthyl) compounds is proposed.

Photodimers of aromatic compounds have been known for over a century, but the first report of the isolation of a photodimer in the naphthalene series appeared in 1963,<sup>1</sup> with an article about the intermolecular ( $4\Pi s + 4\Pi s$ ) photodimensation of  $\beta$ -methoxynaphthalene. Since then several intermolecular photodimensations of naphthalenederivatives have been reported,<sup>2</sup> in which as well endo-, exo- as "cubane-like" cyclomers were formed (Fig. 1) In 1970, Chandross *et al* discovered the first intramolecular ( $4\Pi s + 4\Pi s$ )-photocyclomerization in the naphthalene series, with the formation of *endo*-and *endo*-Cope-rearranged-cyclomers from 1,3-di-( $\alpha$ -naphthyl)-propane.

In this paper we report the results of a study of the photo-chemical behaviour of the non-conjugated bichromophoric compounds di-(x-naphthylmethyl)ether I, [2-(x-naphthyl)ethyl]-(x-naphthylmethyl)ether II and 1,3-di-(x-naphthyl)propanol III, in









Endo

Endo+Cope+rearranged Exo

Cubane-like

Fig. 1. Structure of dimers of naphthalene derivatives



which intramolecular formation of "cubane-like" photocyclomers is observed

## RESULTS

 $Di-(\alpha-naphthylmethyl)ether 1$ . In a previous paper<sup>4</sup> we reported the formation of cyclomers  $I_a$  and  $I_b$ , by irradiation of iso-octane solutions of di-( $\alpha$ -naphthylmethyl)-ether 1. Hereby  $I_a$  underwent thermal Cope-rearrangement to  $I_c$  (Scheme 1).

We now observed that irradiation of I, under the same conditions, but for longer times (Experimental) resulted in the formation of cyclomers  $I_b$  and  $I_d$  (Scheme 2), in the same ratio as  $I_b$  and  $I_a$  in the previous experiment. Moreover, irradiation of pure I, for longer times resulted in quantitative conversion to  $I_d$ 

From the strongly coupled 360 MHz 'H-NMR-spectrum of  $I_d$ , J-and  $\delta$ -values (Table 1) for the non aromatic protons are obtained, using computer simulation (SIMEQ II), (Fig. 2). The arylprotons absorb at 7.0 ppm as a multiplet.

[2-(x-Naphthyl)ethyl] (x-naphthylmethyl)ether II. Prolonged irradiation of iso-octane solutions of [2-(x-naphthyl)ethyl]-(x-naphthylmethyl)ether II at room temperature yields compounds II, and II<sub>b</sub> (ratio 1/5) (Scheme 3).

The mass spectra of II<sub>a</sub> and II<sub>b</sub> show a molecular ion peak at m/e 312, proving the intramolecular character of the photoreaction. The presence of compound II<sub>a</sub> is evidenced by the  $\delta$ - and J-values of its olefinic and aromatic protons, which are fully comparable with those of the *endo*-Cope rearranged cyclomer I<sub>c</sub>.



Fig. 2. 'H-NMR signals of protons  $H_3$ ,  $H_4$ ,  $H_7$  and  $H_8$ , simulated and experimentally obtained on a 360 MHz apparatus.

Πь



۴,	۳.	٦,	"4	۳.	,	۳,	۳.
4.52	<b>a</b> .t	,	<i>i</i>	,	1	1	1
	4 <u>.:</u> 9	· • . \$	/	/	/	/	1
		<u>4.27</u>	11,1	C.3	8.7	0.2	C.C
			· · · _	3.2	D.\$	0.0	0,:
				3.21	G.4	1.5	•.•
					<u>1.21</u>	0.1	•
						2.83	1.1
							<u>•.</u> •/
	۴. <u>4.52</u>	* <u>1</u> <u>4.53</u> 4 <u>.5</u> 3	* <u>1</u> *, *1 <u>4.52</u> J.: 7 4 <u>.53</u> *1.5 <u>4.2</u> 3	<ul> <li><sup>14</sup>3</li> <li><sup>17</sup>3</li> <li><sup>16</sup>4</li> <li><sup>16</sup>4</li> <li><sup>16</sup>3</li> <li< td=""><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td></li<></ul>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1 'H-NMR data for  $I_e$  (CDCI<sub>3</sub>/TMS),  $\delta$  (underlined) in ppm and J in Hz

Aromatic protons.  $\delta = 6,95$  ppm (m) Olefinic protons H<sub>1</sub>  $\delta = 6.32$  ppm (J<sub>12</sub> = 9.6 Hz, J<sub>13</sub> = 0.8 Hz<sup>3</sup> H<sub>2</sub>  $\delta = 5.80$  ppm (J<sub>12</sub> = 9.6 Hz, J<sub>23</sub> = 3.6 Hz). H<sub>1</sub>  $\delta = 6.20$  ppm (J<sub>12</sub> = 9.6 Hz, J<sub>13</sub> = 1.6 Hz<sup>3</sup> H<sub>2</sub>  $\delta = 5.65$  ppm (J<sub>12</sub> = 9.6 Hz, J<sub>23</sub> = 5.2 Hz)

The strongly coupled 360 MHz-'H-NMR spectrum of II, was analyzed, using computer simulation (SIMEQ II) (Fig. 3). The coupling constants and chemical shifts obtained for the non-aromatic protons are summarized in Table 2. For the arylprotons a multiplet at 7.0 ppm is found. These J- and  $\delta$ -values permit us to assign the structure depicted in Scheme 3 to II,

Protons H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>6</sub> are highly deshielded as compared to respectively H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub> ( $\Delta \delta_{H,H_2} = 1.20$  ppm), as one would expect from the anisotropy of the aromatic ring. From the vicinal coupling constant  $U_{4,6}(12.0 \text{ Hz})$  evidence can be found for the axial-axial position of protons  $H_4$  and  $H_6$ .

1,3- $D_1(\alpha$ -naphthyl)propanol III. Irradiation of 1,3- $d_1$ -( $\alpha$ -naphthyl)propanol III,<sup>4</sup> in iso-octane at room temperature, up to 10° conversion results in the formation of III, and III<sub>b</sub> (ratio 1/9) which can by a prolonged irradiation be converted to III<sub>c</sub> and III<sub>d</sub> (Scheme 4)

The NMR-data (100 MHz-spectra, CDCl<sub>1</sub>/TMS) of the aryl- and olefinic protons of III<sub>a</sub> and III<sub>b</sub> are fully comparable with those of the Cope rearranged product of the *endo*-cyclomer *I*, of di-(x-naphthylmethyl)ether 1 III<sub>a</sub> aromatic protons  $\delta = 7.0$  ppm (m). olefinic protons  $\delta_{H_1} = 6.15$  ppm (J<sub>12</sub> = 10 Hz)  $\delta_{H_2} = 5.65$  ppm (J<sub>12</sub> = 10 Hz)



Scheme 4

Table 2. 'H-NMR data for II, (CDCIvTMS), & (underlined) in ppm and J in Hz

	<sup>H</sup> 1	<b>h</b> 2	۳3	H.4	"s	<sup>76</sup>
٣,	4,90	-11,4	0.C	o, o	0.0	0.0
۳2		2.65	0.0	n.c	C. 0	0.0
۳,			4. 3 3	-10.0	1.8	7.2
۹.				4,41	4.7	12.0
۳,					<u>1, 21</u>	- 14,0
۳.						<u>), ))</u>
- <b>-</b>	۹,	"e	٩,	Ľ1.	··· ,	<b>*</b> 12
۳,	4.22	11,8	ə.s	1.5	J. 1	c.c
۲.		4,74	7.5	. 4	0.0	٥. ١
			1 74	7.0	1 5	, -
4			5.14			
۹. ۱.			<u>3.1-</u>	<u>). 70</u>	8.0	1,8
ч Ч.С <sup>н</sup> .1			<u>5.1-</u>	<u>).n</u>	8.0 <u>3.35</u>	1.8 2.C



Fig. 3 'H-NMR signals of protons H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>11</sub>, simulated and experimentally obtained on a 360 MHz apparatus.

 $\begin{array}{l} J_{2,3}=5.8~\text{Hz}). ~~III_b^{+} ~~aromatic ~~protons.~~\delta=6.90~\text{ppm}\\ (m).~~olefinic ~~protons:~~\delta_{H_1}=6.20~\text{ppm}~~(J_{1,2}=9.8~\text{Hz}).\\ \delta_{H_2}=5.70~\text{ppm}~~(J_{1,2}=9.8~\text{Hz};~J_{2,3}=6.0~\text{Hz}).\\ The stereochemical assignment of III_c ~and III_d ~~was \end{tabular}$ 

The stereochemical assignment of III<sub>c</sub> and III<sub>4</sub> was based on the J- and  $\delta$ -values obtained from computersimulation of the strongly coupled 360 MHz NMRspectra (Table 3).<sup>4</sup>

For the anylprotons, the following values were obtained: III, d at 7.23 (1 H, J = 7.5 Hz); d at 7.19 (1 H, J = 7.5 Hz) and multipled at  $\pm$  7.0 (6 H) III<sub>a</sub>:

dd at 8.46 (1 H, J = 7.5 Hz and J = 2.0 Hz); d at 7.34 (1 H, J = 7.5 Hz) and a multiplet at  $\pm$  7.0 (6 H).

Comparison of the 'H NMR spectra shows that proton H<sub>5</sub> is highly deshielded in III<sub>c</sub> ( $\Delta \delta = 0.60$  ppm), as one would expect from the anisoptropy of the aromatic ring. On the other hand there is a striking difference between the peaks associated with the orthoaryl protons H<sub>12</sub> in III<sub>d</sub> and III<sub>c</sub>. Inspection of Dreiding models indicates that H<sub>12</sub> in III<sub>d</sub> (8.46 ppm) should be deshielded as compared to

			۱:: <sub>د</sub>		_			1:	I c			
	۳.	<b>۲</b> 2	۲,	H4	rt,		۳,	H2	۲,	"4	۳ <u>،</u>	
۳,	2.20	-11,	5.6	13.0	11.0		2.54	••3.0	: 5.5	12.0	3.1	
M2		2.3	0.5	6.0	5.9			7.0	1.2	6.C	1,4	
M3			1.65	- 12.5	0.5		{		<u>1.7</u>	<u>1</u> • 12.	C 0.5	
H4 .				2.68	0.0					<u>, 17</u>	0.7	
۳,					<u>5,ce</u>						4,48	
	<sup>H</sup> 6	۳,	<b>"</b> 5	"s	<sup>H</sup> 10	H.1	Hg	н,	"8	"9	"10	H <sub>11</sub>
H.6	4.25	13.0	0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	4.24	11.0	0.0	0,0	0.0	c.s
н,		4.25	7,6	0.0	G.0	0.0		4.20	8.0	٥.٥	<b>J.</b> 5	0.0
H.			3,26	7,1	6.9	1.8			3,15	6.7	6.8	1,5
Mg .	l			3,24	1.8	8.9	l			3,13	1,5	6,8
"10					3, 11	6.8					<u>2,61</u>	6.9
H11						<u>2,70</u>						2,49

Table 3 'H-NMR data for III, and III, (CTXTyTMS), 6 (underlined) in ppm and J in Hz

H<sub>1</sub> in III<sub>c</sub> (7.23 ppm) due to its proximity to the OH-group.<sup>26</sup> In the same way H<sub>10</sub> in III<sub>c</sub> (3.11 ppm) should be deshielded as compared to H<sub>10</sub> in III<sub>d</sub> (2.61 ppm). Furthermore, from the vicinal coupling constants <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,4</sub> (12.0 Hz in III<sub>d</sub> and 13.0 Hz in III) evidence can be found for the axial-axial position of the protons H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>4</sub> as well in III<sub>d</sub> as in III<sub>c</sub>. On this basis one can deduct from the vicinal coupling constants <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,5</sub> (3.1 Hz in III<sub>d</sub> and 11.0 Hz in III<sub>d</sub>) that the OH-group has an axial position in III<sub>d</sub> and an equatorial one in III<sub>c</sub> as depicted in Scheme 4. III<sub>c</sub> and III<sub>d</sub> show the following infrared absorption for the OH group. III<sub>c</sub> broad band between 3400 and 3100 cm<sup>-1</sup> III<sub>d</sub> 3575 (sharp, 11%), 3540 (sharp 30%), 3500 3300 (broad, 40%) 3400-3200 (broad, 13%).

The bands at 3575 and 3540 cm<sup>-1</sup> are characteristic for OH with intramolecular single bridge Hbond, or OH- $\pi$ -association,' while those between 3500 and 3300 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be attributed to OH with intermolecular dimeric bond<sup>4</sup> and those between 3400 and 3200 cm<sup>-1</sup> to OH with intermolecular polymeric H-bond.<sup>4</sup>

The OH- $\pi$  interaction, which occurs in III<sub>d</sub>, but which is totally absent in isomer III<sub>c</sub>, could be an explanation for the fact that III<sub>c</sub> and III<sub>d</sub> are formed in a ratio 1.9.

To check if  $(4\Pi s + 4\Pi s)$  cyclo-adducts are formed, which could not be detected after working up at room temperature, the experiment was performed, up to 10% conversion, in a cold room at 4%. From the 'H-NMR-spectrum of the reaction mixture III, could be observed.



In view of the 1/9 ratio observed for products III<sub>a</sub> and III<sub>b</sub>, and in view of the low conversion it is not possible to observe III<sub>t</sub> in this experimental set-up. The NMR-data (100 MHz-spectrum, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS) of protons H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub> and of the arylprotons of III, are fully comparable with those of I

 $aryl H : \delta = 6.65 ppm (m)$ 

 $H_3$ :  $\delta = 6.05$  ppm ( $J_{23} = 8$  Hz)

 $H_2$ :  $\delta = 6.35$  ppm (m)  $H_1$ :  $\delta = 3.80$  ppm (m)

#### DISCUSSION

The "cubane-like" cyclomers can theoretically be formed by four different path ways (Scheme 5).

Way 2 in which the "cubane-like" cyclomer is directly formed by a  $2(2\Pi s + 2\Pi s)$ -cyclomerization can be eliminated in view of the results of the irradiations during shorter times, in which only *endo*- or *endo*-Cope-rearranged cyclomers are formed.

Way 3 in which the "cubane-like" cyclomer results from a  $(2\Pi s + 2\Pi s)$ -cycloaddition of the *endo*cyclomer can be excluded on the basis of the UVspectrum of I<sub>a</sub> (Fig. 4) together with the experimental conditions under which the cyclomerizations were performed.

The UV-spectrum of this compound is very similar to that of the intra-molecular photodimer of anthracene.<sup>10</sup> The slight hypochromism and bathochromic shift as compared to that of 2,3-dihydronaphthalene<sup>11</sup> can be attributed to interactions between the two chromophores.<sup>12</sup> As the irradiations were carried out in







Scheme 5

pyrex vessels and as *endo*-cyclomers don't absorb at wavelengths longer than 295 nm, it is obvious that the cubane-like cyclomers can not be formed directly from these *endo*-cyclomers. Even when the irradiations were performed with a filter solution, which absorbs all light below 300 nm, formation of cage-like cyclomers occurs, proving that way 3 is quite unlikely.

Way 4, in which the *endo*-Cope-rearranged cyclomer is directly formed by a  $(2\Pi s + 2\Pi s)$ -cyclomerization from the di- $\alpha$ -naphthyl compound, can be rejected on the basis of the following facts

(a) Irradiation of I and III during shorter times and working up in the cold, resulted first in endo-cyclomer.

(b) Irradiation of 1,3-di-(z-naphthyl)propane by Chandross *et al* yielded first *endo-cyclomer*, which upon standing Cope-rearranged.

(c) In the experiment of Yang et al., in which the intermolecular cycloaddition of 1,3-cyclohexadiene to naphthalene was studied, irradiation resulted in the formation of *endo*-IVa, which upon heating was converted into IVb.

It can be concluded that way I constitutes the most plausible reaction scheme for the formation of cubane-like cyclomers from di-(x-naphthyl) compounds



### EXPERIMENTAL

UV-spectra were recorded on a Varian Spectrophotometer. 'H-NMR spectra of the cyclomers were recorded on a Brucker 360 MHz instrument and on a Varian XL 100 instrument

Photocyclomerization The photocycloadditions were performed by irradiation of degassed solns ( $\leq 10^{-1}$  M) of I, II and III in isc-octane, at room temp in a Rayonet RS preparative photochemical reactor, equipped with 8 RUL-3000 Å lamps After irradiation the solns were evaporated under reduced pressure and chromatographed as summarized in Table 4

Di-(z-naphthylmethyl)ether I To a soln of 24g (100 mmol) NaH in 100 ml dry THF, 158g (100 mmol) (z-naphthyl)methanol were added and stirred during 5 hr at room temp 140g (79 mmol) of z-(chloromethyl)-naphthalene were added slowly and the soln was stirred during an additional 24 hr. The mixture was poured into 500 ml H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with benzene. A first punification

iso octane solutions of compound	Irradiation time	formed cyclomers	(he-trel ) yleic (l) - "	Unumetographic conditions
<u>1</u>	5 Nours	1.1.5 and : [0]	75	Iblumn chrometogreby on silice with tennizene
	3 weeks	I <sub>b</sub> and I <sub>g</sub> ratio (*/3)	9L	Thinkeyer chrometcy grephy or silice with TH <sup>4</sup>
1 <u>c</u>	2 wooks	<u>:</u> .	58	Thin-Leyer chromato: graphy on silica with THE
<u>11</u>	2 weeks	ll enc ll <sub>t</sub> retic (1/5)	23	Inin-Leyer chrometo- graphy on silice with n.pentene/CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (20/80)
111	3 hours <sup>(b)</sup>	III and III <sub>b</sub> ratio (1/3)	10	HPLC on silics (10 µ) with n.hexene/ disthylether (80/20)
	) deys	<u>III<sub>c</sub> and III<sub>d</sub> retio (1/9)</u>	99	MPLC on silics (10 v) with n.pentene/ DF_Cl_/CN_ON (75/15/10)

Table 4 Irradiation time, chemical yield and chromatographic conditions

(a) Cyclomer 1<sub>c</sub> is obtained by refluxing a D4<sub>2</sub>C1<sub>2</sub>-solution of 1<sub>a</sub> during two hours or by letting stand this solution during two days at room temperature.

(c) Working up of the reaction mixture occured at room temperature.

(c) The rest is recovered starting material; no other products were leolated.

was performed by column chromatography on silicagel with benzene Preparative HPLC on silicagel (10  $\mu$ ) with cyclohexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (35/65), followed by recrystallization from benzene/n-pentane (90/10), yielded 8.9 g (38%) of colorless hexaédric crystals with m.p. 120.5-121°. 'H-NMR (CDCl<sub>2</sub>/TMS)  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.2 - 8.3 (m, 14 H), 5.10 (s, 4 H) IR.  $\nu_{\rm M}^{\rm Lb}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup>) 3040 w (-C-H), 1595 w, 1450 w (nng C-C), 1070 s, 1060 s (C-O-C), 785 s, 765 (C-H out of plane deformation) (Found C, 88.72, H, 6.79, Calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O C, 88.60, H, 6.10°.)

[2-(x-Naphthylethyl)] (x-naphthylmethyl)ether II Synthesis of this compound is analoguous to that of di-(x-naphthylmethyl)ether, starting from 2.4 g (100 mmol) NaH, 17.2 g (100 mmol) 2-(a-naphthyl)ethanoi and 14.0 g (79 mmol) x-(chloromethyl)naphthalene A first punfication was performed by column chromatography on silicagel with benzene Further punfication was obtained by preparative HPLC on SiO(10  $\mu$ ) with cyclohexane;CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50/50) followed by recrystallization from MeCN, which resulted in 8.6 g (35° a) of white needles, m.p. 42.0-42.5 [H-NMR (CDCl<sub>2</sub>/TMS)  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.2-8.3 (m, 14.H), 4.95 (s, 2.H), 3.35 (t, 2.H), 3.25 (t, 2.H) IR  $v_{max}^{RB}$  (cm. 1) 3040 w (-C-H), 1595 w, 1505 w, 1450 w (nng C-C), 1100 s, 1080 s, 1065 w (C·O·C), 785 s, 760 s (C-H out of plane deformation). (Found C, 88.32, H, 6.72, Calcd for C<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>20</sub>O·C, 88.40, H, 6.50° a)

1.3 Di (2 naphihyl)propanol III. To a soln of 0.7 g (18 mmol) LiAIH, in dry ether under N<sub>2</sub>, slowly an ether soln of 3.1 g (10 mmol) of 1-a-naphthoyl-2-a-naphthylethylene<sup>14</sup> was added, so that a gently refluxing of the ether occurred After all the 2.a chalcone was added, the excess of LiAIH, was destroyed by adding EtOAc, after which the mixture was filtrated. The filtrate was extracted with CH2Cl2, while the remaining ppt was dissolved in 2N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>2</sub> and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-portions were put together, and after evaporation of the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the resulting pale yellow solid, was chromatographed on silicagel with benzene. Preparative HPLC on silica (10  $\mu$ ) with n-hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeCN (75/15/10), followed by recrystallization from cyclohexane afforded 24g (78\*,) of colorless needles with mp. 100 5-101 H-NMR (CDC1, TMS) δ (ppm) 7.3 8.2 (m. 14 H), 5 55 (I, 1 H), 3 34 (I, 2 H), 2 3 (m, 2 H), 2 00 (s, 1 H) IR v (cm 1) 3400-3200 m (O-H), 3030 m (+C-H), 2960 m (C. H), 1595(s), 1505(s), 1455(w) (ring C. C), 780(s), 760(s) (C. H out of plane deformation) (Found C, 88 57, H, 6 55, Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O. C-88 40, H, 6 50%).

Photocyclomers. All of the reported photocylomers show a molecular ion peak at a m/e which is identical to the m/eof the di-( $\alpha$ -naphthyl) compound from which they were formed

Acknowledgements — The authors are indebted to the  $N \in WO$ , the  $F \in K \in O$ , the University of Leuven Research

Fund, the University Centre of Limburg and the Ministry of Scientific Programming for financial support Prof. Dr. Antheunis is acknowledged for the use of NMR-equipment.

#### REFERENCES

- J. S. Bradshaw and G. S. Hammond, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85, 3953 (1963).
- T W Mattingly, J E Lancaster and A Zweig, Chem. Commun. 595 (1971),
- <sup>4</sup>P. J. Collin, G. Sugodwz, T. Teitei, D. Wills and W. H. F. Sasse, Aust. J. Chem. 27, 227 (1974).
- T Teitei, D Wells, P. J. Collin, G Sugodwz and W H F Sasse, ibid 28, 2005 (1975),
- <sup>4</sup>T. Teiter, D. Wells and W. H. F. Sasse, *ibid* 29, 1983 (1976).
- T Teitei, D Wells, T H Spurling and W H F Sasse, ibid 31, 85 (1978)
- <sup>1</sup>E. A Chandross and C J Dempster, J Am Chem Soc. 92, 701 (1970)
- <sup>4</sup>R. Todesco, J. Gelan, H. Martens, J. Put and F. C. De Schryver, *Teirahedron Letters* 2815 (1978)
- <sup>3</sup>M. A. Cooper, D. D. Elleman, C. D. Pearce and S. L. Manatt, J. Chem. Phys. 53, 2343 (1970).
- \*R Todesco, J Gelan, H Martens, J Put and F C De Schryver, Bull Soc Chun Belg **39**, 521 (1980)
- <sup>1</sup><sup>e</sup>L J Bellamy, The IR Spectra of Complex Molecules, p. 114 Chapman and Hall, London (1975).
- \*M. Oki and H. Iwamura, Bull Chem. Soc. Japan 32, 950 (1959),
- 'N. Mori, S. Omura and Y. Tsuzuki, ibid. 38, 1631 (1965).
- <sup>6</sup>H J Mannan and J Mann. Trans Faraday Soc 52, 481, 487, 492 (1956)
- <sup>1</sup>L P Kuhn, J Am Chem Soc 74, 2492 (1952)
- <sup>19</sup>C Coulson, L. E. Orgel, W. Taylor and J. Wein, J. Chem. Soc. 2961 (1955);
- <sup>4</sup>K. S. Wei and R. Livingston, *Photochem Photobiol.* 6, 229 (1967)
- ''W. Hückel, E. Vevera and U. Wörffel, Chem. Ber. 90, 901 (1957)
- <sup>12</sup>D J Cram, N L Allinger and H Steinberg, J Am. Chem. Soc. 76, 6132 (1954),
- <sup>AC</sup> J. Brown, J. Chem. Soc. 3265, 3279 (1953),
- <sup>1</sup>K. Lonsdale, H. J. Milledge and K. V. Rao, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 255, 82 (1960),
- <sup>4</sup>J. N. Murell, The Theory of the Electronic Spectra of Organic Molecules, Chap 7 Wiley, New York
- <sup>13</sup>N. C. Yang and J. Libman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 9228 (1972)
- <sup>16</sup>I-a-Naphthoyl-2-z-naphthylethylene was prepared as described earlier by Chandross *et al.*<sup>3</sup> Yield 85°<sub>a</sub>, m.p. 76-79<sup>-13</sup>
- <sup>13</sup>L. Wolf and C. Tröltzoch, J. Prakt. Chem. 17, 69 (1969).